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## "Zehnkampf/Decathlon"



## Layers of abstraction

## Layer One: Setup



## Layer Two: Execution (1)



## Layer Two: Execution (2)



## Layer Two: Execution (3)



$\square$ ...


## Layer Three: Analysis

Exploratory: get a better understanding of the benchmark experiment, "dig" for interesting information.
Inferential: test hypotheses of interest, infer a statistically correct order.

# Analyses of benchmark experiments with one data set 

## Common exploratory tools

| $\phi=$ | Mean | SD | Median | Max |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| blue | 0.0110 | 0.0059 | 0.0100 | 0.0340 |
| red | 0.0116 | 0.0080 | 0.0100 | 0.0561 |
| green | 0.0293 | 0.0123 | 0.0273 | 0.0631 |
| yellow | 0.0344 | 0.0118 | 0.0340 | 0.0707 |
| purple | 0.0352 | 0.0094 | 0.0350 | 0.0561 |
| orange | 0.0353 | 0.0094 | 0.0350 | 0.0561 |




## Benchmark experiment plot



## "Full" Benchmark experiment plot



## Inferential analysis

## Random block design:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{i j}=\kappa_{0}+\kappa_{j}+b_{i}+\epsilon_{i j} \\
i=1, \ldots, B, j=1, \ldots(K-1),
\end{gathered}
$$

with different assumptions on $\kappa_{j}, b_{i}$ and $\epsilon_{i j}$.

## Test problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \kappa_{1}=\cdots=\kappa_{K-1}=0, \\
& H_{A}: \exists j: \kappa_{j} \neq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

using parametric and non-parametric methods.

## Linear mixed effects model

## Assumptions:

$\kappa_{j}$ fixed effect, $b_{i}$ random effect,

$$
b_{i} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{b}^{2}\right), \epsilon_{i j} \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right) .
$$

Test problem:
Pairwise comparisons with Tukey contrasts.

## Pairwise comparisons based on LME

## General Linear Hypotheses

Multiple Comparisons of Means: Tukey Contrasts

Linear Hypotheses:
Estimate
green - blue == $0 \quad 1.837 e-02$
orange - blue $==0 \quad 2.431 \mathrm{e}-02$
purple - blue == $0 \quad 2.427 \mathrm{e}-02$
red - blue $==0 \quad 6.863 \mathrm{e}-04$
yellow - blue $==0 \quad 2.349 \mathrm{e}-02$
orange - green == $0 \quad 5.941 \mathrm{e}-03$
purple - green $==0 \quad 5.899 \mathrm{e}-03$
red - green == 0 $-1.769 \mathrm{e}-02$
yellow - green == $0 \quad 5.121 \mathrm{e}-03$
purple - orange $==0-4.188 \mathrm{e}-05$
red - orange $==0 \quad-2.363 \mathrm{e}-02$
yellow - orange $==0-8.202 \mathrm{e}-04$
red - purple == $0 \quad-2.359 \mathrm{e}-02$
yellow - purple == $0-7.783 \mathrm{e}-04$
yellow - red == $0 \quad 2.281 \mathrm{e}-02$

## Order relation and toplogical sort

In case of a significant difference between two algorithms we define a strict total order $<$, otherwise the algorithms are $\approx$-related.

Pairwise orders:
red $\approx$ blue, purple $\approx$ orange, blue $<$ green,..
Topological sort:

$$
\mathrm{blue} \approx \text { red }<\text { green }<\text { orange } \approx \text { purple } \approx \text { yellow }
$$

## Overall order

Performance measures $P_{i}$ :
Mcl : blue $\approx$ red $<$ green $<$ orange $\approx$ purple $\approx$ yellow
Time : red $<$ purple $<$ orange $<$ yellow $<$ green $<$ blue

Overall order: Hierarchical order*, Consensus ranking*

# Analyses of benchmark experiments with more than one data set 

## Benchmark survey plot



## Benchmark survey graph



## Further formal analyses

Consensus: overall order based on the set of order relations.*
Inference: model the design with two experimental factors, their interactions and blocking factors at two levels.*
Overall: sum up order relations based on different data sets and different performance measures.*

## Statistically correct order

Algorithms \{blue, green, orange, red, purple, yellow\}, data sets $\{\mathrm{A}, \ldots, \mathrm{U}\}$, performance measures $P_{1}=$ misclassification, $P_{2}=$ computation time:

$$
\text { blue }<\text { red } \approx \text { orange } \approx \text { green }<\text { yellow }<\text { purple }
$$

## Perspective

## Goals and future work
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